



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/548,407	09/08/2005	Chiaki Yokoyama	TAN-354	8005
62479	7590	02/17/2009	EXAMINER	
HAHN & VOIGHT PLLC			WANG, CHUN CHENG	
1012 14TH STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 620			1796	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MAIL DATE	
			02/17/2009	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/548,407	Applicant(s) YOKOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner Chun-Cheng Wang	Art Unit 1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Chun-Cheng Wang. (3)_____.

(2) Roger Hahn. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Boudreau et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The ionic liquid and nature of the ratio a/b, fine particle dispersing concentration/amount of the ionic liquid, and the a/b ratio related examples in specification. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ling-Siu Choi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796